

# United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vigniia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/832,718	04/11/2001	Daniel L. McConnell	069035.00001	9752	
29391	7590 05/21/2003				
	ROWNLEE, BOWDO	EXAMINER			
SUITE 2500	ORANGE AVENUE		COBURN, CORBETT B		
ORLANDO, FL 32801			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3714		
			DATE MAILED: 05/21/2003	8	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

, ''		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		09/832,7		MCCONNELL ET AL.	O.W	
		Examine		Art Unit	<u>On</u>	
		Corbett B		3714		
	The MAILING DATE of this communication			1 - 1	ss	
Period for	Reply			-		
THE M Extensi after SI - If the pr - If NO p - Failure - Any rep	RTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR R AILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of 37 C IX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication of the provision of	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no evon. a reply within the state or will apply and wistatute, cause the apply.	ent, however, may a reply be ti utory minimum of thirty (30) da ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS fron dication to become ABANDON	mely filed  ys will be considered timely.  the mailing date of this commu	inication.	
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	) .				
		This action is	non-final.			
	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·					
4)⊠ C	Claim(s) 7-22 and 24-32 is/are pending in	n the application	1.			
	a) Of the above claim(s) <u>14-22</u> is/are with	• ,				
	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
· _	☐ Claim(s) is/are anowed.  ☐ Claim(s) <u>7-13 and 24-32</u> is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application			oquirom.			
9)⊠ Tł	ne specification is objected to by the Exar	miner.				
10)⊠ Tr	ne drawing(s) filed on <u>11 April 2001</u> is/are	e: a)⊠ accepted	or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection	to the drawing(s)	be held in abeyance. S	see 37 CFR 1.85(a).		
11)[] Th	ne proposed drawing correction filed on _	is: a)□ a	pproved b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.		
	If approved, corrected drawings are required	in reply to this Of	fice action.			
12) 🗌 Th	ne oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.				
Priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120					
13) 🗌 A	cknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	reign priority ur	der 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	a)-(d) or (f).		
a)[_	a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1	. Certified copies of the priority docum	nents have bee	n received.			
2	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
	. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International ethe attached detailed Office action for a	al Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		je	
	knowledgment is made of a claim for don				olication).	
_a) [	☐ The translation of the foreign language knowledgment is made of a claim for dor	e provisional ap	plication has been rec	eived.	·	
			A) D data a description	(DTO 440) D		
2) Notice of 3) Information	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948 tion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No			y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152		
.S. Patent and Trade TO-326 (Rev.		ce Action Summa	ry	Part of Paper No. 8		

Art Unit: 3714

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

1. Claims 14-22 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected inventions, there being no allowable generic or linking claim.

Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in Paper No. 7.

2. Applicant's election with traverse of claims 7-13 and 24-32 in Paper No. 7 is acknowledged. The traversal is on the ground(s) that claims 17-22 do not mention a video headset. This is not found persuasive because while claims 17-22 do not contain the words, "video headset", they are drawn to "a display unit adapted for hands-free portability". A review of the specification clearly shows that this "display unit adapted for hands-free portability" is a video headset. (See Fig 4) Claims 14-22 are to the details of the video display device where the elected claims are drawn to the overall system.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

3. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

### Information Disclosure Statement

4. The information disclosure statement filed 11 April 2001 & 10 September 01 fail to comply with 37 CFR 1.98(a)(2), which requires a legible copy of each U.S. and foreign patent; each publication or that portion which caused it to be listed; and all other information or that

Art Unit: 3714

portion which caused it to be listed. They have been placed in the application file, but the information referred to therein has not been considered.

# Specification

5. The title of the invention is not descriptive. A new title is required that is clearly indicative of the invention to which the claims are directed.

The following title is suggested: A System And Method For Use Of A Portable Stereo-Optic Video Device For Viewing A Live Event.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 7. Claims 7, 10-12, 27, 29, & 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hayashigawa (US Patent Number 5,865,624).
  - Claim 7, 12, 27, 29: Hayashigawa teaches providing a plurality of cameras (16, 18) at a plurality of locations (one pair to each car) at the event (race) for producing a plurality of video signals responsive to a scene. Hayashigawa teaches transmitting wireless communication signals corresponding to the plurality of video signals via a transmitter (24) at the event. Hayashigawa teaches a plurality of portable display units (40) containing a receiving device (28) and a video display device (44, 46). The portable display units are adapted for receiving the wireless communications directly from the

Art Unit: 3714

transmitter (Fig 1) and for displaying images responsive to selective ones of the video signals for personal viewing by attendees at the event. (Abstract)

Claim 10: Hayashigawa teaches transmitting signals corresponding to a predetermined content (i.e., a race or other event) via the wireless communication system for selected viewing by the attendees. (Col 1, 7-47)

Claim 11: Hayashigawa teaches use of the device to display NASCAR races. (Col 3, 37-42) Examiner takes official notice of the fact that NASCAR race cares are covered in advertising materials – i.e., the logos of the sponsors. When transmitting the images of the race, Hayashigawa must transmit this advertising material. Thus Hayashigawa teaches transmitting wireless communications signals corresponding to advertising content via the wireless communication system for viewing by the attendees.

Claim 30: Hayashigawa teaches cameras in each car (i.e., a plurality of pairs of cameras) and a transmitter (i.e., the telemetry package) connected to the cameras for transmitting a view from the perspective of the video camera. (Col 7, 1-2) The viewer may choose which of the cameras to view (i.e., the viewer may select which car to "ride"). (Col 8, 8-15)

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 3714

9. Claims 8, 13, 24, 25, 28 & 32 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashigawa as applied to claim 7, 12, 27, 29 in view of Anderson (US Patent Number 5,714,997).

Claim 8, 24, 28, 32: Hayashigawa teaches the invention substantially as claimed. Hayashigawa teaches transmitting wireless communications signals corresponding to the plurality of video signals via the wireless communication system. (Fig 2) There are personal display units (44 & 46) for displaying images for personal viewing by attendees. Hayashigawa teaches providing a pair of cameras (16 & 18) at each of the plurality of locations (i.e., on each car) at the event for producing a panoramic view (Col 7, 11-15), but does not teach stereo-optic images. Anderson teaches using stereo-optic video signals and a stereo-optic video display device (Fig 31) to display three-dimensional images of the live event. This provides a realistic three-dimensional image to the viewer, thus increasing the viewer's sense of participation in the live event. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have used stereo-optic signals and a stereo-optic video display device to provide a realistic three-dimensional image to the viewer, thus increasing the viewer's sense of participation in the live event.

Claim 13: Anderson teaches that the personal display unit is a pair of stereo goggles.

(Col 39, 35-43) Goggles have a headset for supporting the receiving device and the video display device.

Claim 25: Hayashigawa teaches cameras in each car (i.e., a plurality of pairs of cameras) and a transmitter (i.e., the telemetry package) connected to the cameras for transmitting a

Art Unit: 3714

view from the perspective of the video camera. (Col 7, 1-2) The viewer may choose which of the cameras to view (i.e., the viewer may select which car to "ride"). (Col 8, 8-15)

Page 6

- 10. Claim 9 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashigawa as applied to claim 7 above in view of official notice.
  - Claim 9: Hayashigawa teaches the invention substantially as claimed including use of the device by those attending the event (Col 8, 18-20), but does not teach renting the personal display units. Examiner takes official notice that the profit motive is well known. Renting the display units would allow the owner to make money off of the device. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have rented the personal display units to patrons in order to allow the owner to make a profit.
- 11. Claim 26 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashigawa and Anderson as applied to claim 24 above, and further in view of Simonelli (US Patent Number 4,817,948).
  - Claim 26: Hayashigawa and Anderson teach the invention substantially as claimed, but do not teach a positioning device with controller, transmitter and receiver for changing the position of the cameras in response to the physical input of the observer. Simonelli teaches mounting cameras in remote control racing cars. The cars move under the control of the observer. This moves the camera relative to the scene in response to the position signal. There is a receiver (32) and a transmitter (32). Fig 3 shows the portable controller for producing the position input signals in response to physical input signals

Art Unit: 3714

provided by the observer – i.e., steering the car. Hayashigawa teaches that the device can be used with any number of types of vehicles. (Col 6, 38-39) Hayashigawa teaches that the invention gives the participant a more realistic sensation of participation in the event. Simonelli teaches that the purpose of the invention is to provide a more realistic sensation of participation in the remote control car racing event. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have adapted Hayashigawa's system to remote control car racing (thus allowing the participant to change the location of the cameras in response to user input) as suggested by Simonelli in order to provide a more realistic sensation of participation in the remote control car racing event.

Page 7

12. Claim 31 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Hayashigawa as applied to claim 29 above, and further in view of Simonelli (US Patent Number 4,817,948).

Claim 31: Hayashigawa teaches the invention substantially as claimed, but does not teach a positioning device with controller, transmitter and receiver for changing the position of the cameras in response to the physical input of the observer. Simonelli teaches mounting cameras in remote control racing cars. The cars move under the control of the observer. This moves the camera relative to the scene in response to the position signal. There is a receiver (32) and a transmitter (32). Fig 3 shows the portable controller for producing the position input signals in response to physical input signals provided by the observer – i.e., steering the car. Hayashigawa teaches that the device can be used with any number of types of vehicles. (Col 6, 38-39) Hayashigawa teaches that the invention gives the participant a more realistic sensation of participation in the event.

Art Unit: 3714

Simonelli teaches that the purpose of the invention is to provide a more realistic sensation of participation in the remote control car racing event. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to have adapted Hayashigawa's system to remote control car racing (thus allowing the participant to change the location of the cameras in response to user input) as suggested by Simonelli in order to provide a more realistic sensation of participation in the remote control car racing event.

#### Conclusion

13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Reference Name	US Patent Number	Applicability	
Rallison et al.	5,903,395	Goggles	
Ho et al.	6,497,608	Car with camera	
Spry	5,596,319	Car with camera	
Yoshimatsu 6,326,994		Stereographic imaging apparatus	
Takemoto et al. 5,707,237		Car with camera	

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Corbett B. Coburn whose telephone number is (703) 305-3319. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5:30, Monday-Friday, alternate Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Hughes can be reached on (703) 308-1806. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9302 for regular communications and (703) 872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1148.

Art Unit: 3714

Page 9

May 15, 2003

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 3700